



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 09/755,081 | 01/08/2001 | Michael T. Rossi | A7842 | 5137 |
| 7590 03/07/2005 | | | | |
| SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213 | | | EXAMINER KNAUSS, SCOTT A | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2874 | PAPER NUMBER |

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|

09/755081

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

0305

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

DECISION ON PETITION UNDER 37 CFR §1.97 FOR CONSIDERATION OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The petition filed 12/23/2003 under 37 CFR §1.97(d) for consideration of an information disclosure statement filed after allowance has been:

☒ GRANTED.

☐ DENIED.

☐ It was filed after payment of the issue fee. See 37 CFR §1.97(d)(2).

☐ It was filed after the patent grant.

The petition lacks:

☐ The required fee under 37 CFR §§1.97(d) and 1.17(i)(1).


☐ A proper certification as specified in 37 CFR §§1.97(d) and 1.97(e).

☐ The information disclosure statement has been placed in the file and made of record but will not be considered by the examiner.

☐ IDS CONSIDERED.

The examiner has considered the IDS. See accompanying copy of PTO 1449, or Paper No. _____.


Rodney Bovernick
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800


Sung Pak
Patent Examiner
AU 2874